

ONE MAJOR PLATFORM OR SYSTEM - IDENTITY, DESCRIPTION, ACCESS AND OWNERSHIP

System Name:		Vendor / Provider:	
Product Line:		Version / Edition:	
Internal Owner:		Business Owner:	
Review Date:		Next Review:	
System Type:	Primary Users:	Support Contact:	Contract Start:
Renewal Date:	License Scope:	Hosting Type:	Criticality Level:

System Classification

☐ SIS	☐ Identity / SSO	☐ Analytics / dashboard
☐ LMS	☐ Communication	☐ Content repository
☐ Assessment	☐ Finance / HR	☐ AI-enabled system
☐ Curriculum	☐ Operations	☐ Other

System Description

Describe the purpose of this system, major functionality, users served, business owner, operational importance, and known limitations.

Ownership & Operational Role Questions

Technical Evidence Question	Y	N	Notes / Evidence
Is this system mission-critical, instructional-critical, operational support, optional, or unclear in the ecosystem?	☐	☐	
Is there an internal owner and business owner responsible for decisions, support, renewal, and data governance?	☐	☐	
Which groups depend on this system daily, weekly, seasonally, or only during specific administrative cycles?	☐	☐	
Is the system substituting for, duplicating, or overlapping with another platform or manual process?	☐	☐	
Are support pathways, vendor contacts, escalation paths, and documentation accessible to the right staff?	☐	☐	

Access & Authentication Questions

Does the system use SSO, MFA for admins, role-based access, and least-privilege permissions?	☐	☐	
How are accounts created, updated, suspended, archived, and deleted?	☐	☐	
Are student, staff, admin, and family access roles clearly separated and documented?	☐	☐	
Can access changes be audited by user, date, role, and action?	☐	☐	
Is there a reliable process for offboarding users and removing unnecessary access?	☐	☐	

Auditability

☐	Documentation Available
☐	Evidence Available
☐	Vender Verified
☐	Archived

ONE MAJOR PLATFORM OR SYSTEM - DATA AND SECURITY

System Name:

Data & Security Questions

What student, staff, financial, behavioral, academic, assessment, or identity data is stored or processed?	☐	☐	
Is data encrypted, backed up, retained appropriately, deletable, and recoverable?	☐	☐	
Does the vendor use data for product improvement, analytics, AI training, or third-party processing?	☐	☐	
Are DPIA/DPA/privacy documents, breach procedures, SOC/security reports, and compliance evidence available?	☐	☐	
Is auditability sufficient for logins, admin changes, data exports, AI actions, and integration events?	☐	☐	

Data Sensitivity Flags

☐ Student PII	☐ Financial data	☐ Usage/clickstream
☐ Staff PII	☐ Behavior data	☐ Exportable data
☐ Assessment data	☐ Identity data	☐ Third-party processing
☐ Health/IEP/plan data	☐ AI/chat logs	☐ Unknown

Workflow & Integration Snapshot

Connected System Name	Data Exchanged	Direction	By API	Manual	Notes
		Sends / Receives / Both	☐	☐	
		Sends / Receives / Both	☐	☐	
		Sends / Receives / Both	☐	☐	
		Sends / Receives / Both	☐	☐	

Integration Reliability Questions

Technical Evidence Question	Y	N	Notes / Evidence
Are integration owners, technical contacts, refresh schedules, and failure alerts known?	☐	☐	
Are any workflows dependent on CSV uploads, manual exports, screenshots, email, or human re-entry?	☐	☐	
Are API scopes, permissions, tokens, secrets, and data fields documented and reviewed?	☐	☐	
What happens when the integration fails, duplicates records, sends stale data, or mismatches identities?	☐	☐	
Can integration activity be audited, including data transferred, timestamp, source, destination, and error state?	☐	☐	

Integration Methods Present

☐ API	☐ OneRoster	☐ Email attachment
☐ SFTP / file transfer	☐ Clever/ClassLink	☐ Webhook
☐ CSV upload	☐ Manual entry	☐ Unknown
☐ LTI	☐ Manual export	☐ Not integrated

ONE MAJOR PLATFORM OR SYSTEM - RISK, SUPPORT, LIFECYCLE AND RENEWAL DECISION

System Name:

Operational Risk Questions

Technical Evidence Question	Y	N	Notes / Evidence
Is there a single point of failure in ownership, data access, integrations, vendor support, or institutional knowledge?	☐	☐	
Is uptime, performance, incident history, support responsiveness, and vendor reliability acceptable?	☐	☐	
Does the system create manual work, duplicated data entry, unclear ownership, or shadow workflows?	☐	☐	
Does the system overlap with another system enough to consider consolidation, repositioning, or retirement?	☐	☐	
Is staff training, documentation, and support capacity sufficient for sustainable use?	☐	☐	

Lifecycle & Renewal Questions

Is the system still aligned to instructional, administrative, compliance, or operational priorities?	☐	☐	
Are cost, usage, support burden, integration complexity, and risk justified by current value?	☐	☐	
Are contract terms, renewal windows, data return/deletion, and exit requirements documented?	☐	☐	
What work would be required to migrate, replace, consolidate, or retire this system?	☐	☐	
Does this system require leadership, privacy/legal, finance, academic, or vendor review before renewal?	☐	☐	

Risk / Review Flags

☐ Security risk	☐ Vendor reliability risk	☐ Training gap
☐ Privacy risk	☐ Cost increase	☐ Redundant system
☐ Integration risk	☐ Low adoption	☐ Contract risk
☐ Data quality risk	☐ High manual workload	☐ Migration risk

Technical Recommendation

☐ Keep / maintain	☐ Needs vendor review
☐ Improve workflow	☐ Needs privacy/legal review
☐ Improve security controls	☐ Needs cost review
☐ Reduce manual process	☐ Needs training/support plan
☐ Replace / retire possibility	☐ Escalate to leadership

Final Technical Rationale

Summarize the technical decision, key evidence, risk level, required follow-up, and recommended next action.

SECTION 3 - TECH MANAGEMENT

DEVICE FLEET SUMMARY

SUMMARIZE DEVICE CATEGORIES, QUANTITIES, CONDITION AND REPLACEMENT NEEDS

District / School:		Prepared By:		Review Date:		Refresh Cycle:	
#	Device Category	Approx. Count	Primary Users	Assignment Model	Condition	Refresh / Need Notes	
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
Fleet Status ☐ Adequate ☐ Shortage ☐ Aging devices ☐ Mixed condition			Priority Need ☐ Replacement ☐ Repair backlog ☐ Spare pool ☐ Inventory cleanup		Next Review ☐ Usage data ☐ Budget estimate ☐ Leadership decision ☐ Vendor quote		

SECTION 3 - TECH MANAGEMENT

INFRASTRUCTURE & SPECIALIZED TECH RECORD

DOCUMENT NON-STANDARD ASSETS, INFRASTRUCTURE, SPECIALIZED TOOLS, SPACES, AND SUPPORT NEEDS.

[illegible]

Implementation / Maintenance Notes

SECTION 3 - TECH MANAGEMENT

DEVICE ASSIGNMENT LEDGER (FRONT)

TRACK DEVICE ASSIGNMENT, LOCATION, USER/GROUP, AND RETURN/STATUS NOTES.

Ledger Type & Model & Location Issued

Ledger # _____

Status

- ☐ Assigned
- ☐ Available
- ☐ In repair
- ☐ Needs Part or Cord
- ☐ Missing
- ☐ Retired

Assignment Model

- ☐ 1:1
- ☐ Cart / shared
- ☐ Lab / fixed
- ☐ Checkout
- ☐ Staff device

Issuing Location:

Actions >

- ☐ To Repair
- ☐ Order Replacement
- ☐ Collected
- ☐ Retire

Device Brand:

Asset Manager:

#	Asset Tag / ID	Model Number
1		
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group
		> Campus/ Room
		Issued Date
		Action >
		Action Date
2		
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group
		> Campus/ Room
		Issued Date
		Action >
		Action Date
3		
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group
		> Campus/ Room
		Issued Date
		Action >
		Action Date
4		
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group
		> Campus/ Room
		Issued Date
		Action >
		Action Date
5		
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group
		> Campus/ Room
		Issued Date
		Action >
		Action Date
6		
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group
		> Campus/ Room
		Issued Date
		Action >
		Action Date

SECTION 3 - TECH MANAGEMENT

DEVICE ASSIGNMENT LEDGER (BACK)

Ledger Type & Model & Location Issued

Ledger # _____ -2

#	Asset Tag / ID	Model Number				
7						
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group	> Campus/ Room	Issued Date	Action >	Action Date
#	Asset Tag / ID	Model Number				
8						
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group	> Campus/ Room	Issued Date	Action >	Action Date
#	Asset Tag / ID	Model Number				
9						
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group	> Campus/ Room	Issued Date	Action >	Action Date
#	Asset Tag / ID	Model Number				
10						
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group	> Campus/ Room	Issued Date	Action >	Action Date
#	Asset Tag / ID	Model Number				
11						
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group	> Campus/ Room	Issued Date	Action >	Action Date
#	Asset Tag / ID	Model Number				
12						
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group	> Campus/ Room	Issued Date	Action >	Action Date
#	Asset Tag / ID	Model Number				
13						
	Image Ref. No.	Assigned To Name / Group	> Campus/ Room	Issued Date	Action >	Action Date

SECTION 3 - TECH MANAGEMENT

DEVICE IMAGE RECORD

DEFINE THIS ONE DEVICE IMAGE FOR STANDARD SOFTWARE, SETTINGS, SECURITY & ANY OTHER DEPLOYMENT BASELINE.

Image Name or Number:	Tech Coordinator:
Device Group:	Version / Date:

☐ Teachers	☐ Students	☐ Staff
Security Baseline ☐ Endpoint protection ☐ Device encryption ☐ Content filter ☐ Admin restrictions ☐ Patch policy	Access Setup ☐ SSO ☐ Rostering ☐ Local profiles ☐ Accessibility tools ☐ Language tools	Deployment Notes ☐ New device ☐ Refresh ☐ Repair return ☐ Summer reset ☐ Pilot group

No.	Component Area	Brand/Name	Standard Included	Version / Setting	Notes
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					

Notes:

SECTION 3 - TECH MANAGEMENT

TECHNOLOGY VENDOR RECORD

DOCUMENT VENDOR CONTACT, SUPPORT, CONTRACT, RENEWAL, AND OTHER NOTES.

Vendor / Provider:	Product / Service:	Internal Owner:	Account Number:	
Notes:				
Contact / Role	Email / Phone	Contract / Term	Renewal Date	Support Notes
Value ☐ High use ☐ Critical system ☐ Good support ☐ Strong data value ☐ Low value	Risk ☐ Privacy / security ☐ Integration risk ☐ Cost increase ☐ Vendor reliability ☐ Low adoption	Decision ☐ Renew ☐ Renegotiate ☐ Replace ☐ Monitor ☐ Escalate review		
Action to Take	Owner	Due Date	Decision / Status	Notes